1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CHARLES MAKI,

٧.

RENEE BAKER,

Petitioner,

ORDER

Case No. 3:20-cv-00274-MMD-WGC

Respondent.

This is a closed habeas corpus action under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On August 5, 2020, the Court dismissed this action for two reasons. First, Petitioner, Charles Maki, did not pay the filing fee. Second, and more important, Maki did not obtain authorization from the Ninth Circuit to file a second or successive habeas corpus petition. (ECF No. 5.) Maki has filed a motion for reconsideration. (ECF No. 8.) Maki also has filed a notice that he sent the filing fee to the Court on September 2, 2020, almost a month after the Court dismissed the action. (ECF No. 9.) Maki's motion for reconsideration is not persuasive, and the Court denies it.

In his motion for reconsideration, Maki repeats the arguments that he made in his motion to show cause (ECF No. 4). The Court already has rejected those arguments, and Maki gives the Court no reason to change that determination. To the extent that Maki is arguing that he is actually innocent, the Court repeats what it stated in its earlier order. Under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b), Maki needs to make that argument with the Ninth Circuit in an application to file a second or successive petition.

Maki's notice that he sent the filing fee to the Court does not affect the dismissal of the action. First, the Court also dismissed the action because the Ninth Circuit has not authorized a second or successive petition, and that has not changed. Second, Maki sent

Case 3:20-cv-00274-MMD-WGC Document 10 Filed 10/08/20 Page 2 of 2